

Quorum (6 needed, 8 Present)

Approval of May 10th minutes

<https://www.tapatalk.com/groups/cgaa/minutes-for-june-14th-2020-meeting-t2274.html#p8768>

Unanimous

Reports

Incorporation committee

Making significant progress, and the number of significant issues yet to address is shrinking

Literature committee

Collecting info for a couple pieces being worked on and still considering the idea of a blog on the website for the sake of frequently updated content on the website

Webmaster

We currently have a relatively large quantity of traffic to the website and some issues have been fixed.

Hotline

Report will be provided next meeting

Webmaster position

There was discussion on the webmaster position and the idea of setting up a rotation for it. A member raised it as an issue to talk about, and preliminary discussion took place mostly around what the GSC wants to determine in regards to formalizing the role at some point in the future. Priority of this discussion doesn't seem very high at the moment.

Point was raised about webmaster being a position that was initially talked about (years ago) as being a part of CGAAIS responsibility (paid or volunteer role). This being the case, the discussion should probably be happening at the Trustee level rather than the GSC level, as CGAAIS is responsible for this level of service-role decision making. If the GSC wants something to happen with the webmaster, we should direct the Trustees to look into it.

Website review committee

Original stated goal was "to change the look, feel, and structure (but not content) to make more modern and it easier [sic] to find things."

Original approved proposal "Begin working on website revisions, with the extent of the revisions to be decided by the committee. Committee will report back to the GSC before changes are made. Initial committee will include Morgan, Xander, and possibly Ian." (The above quotes are from January 2020 GSC meeting minutes)

Webmaster voted yes under the impression that the committee was going to look things over and come back with suggestions for changes, and then changes would be made from there. Webmaster was busy

enough to not want to be particularly involved in the making suggestions phase, but definitely wants to be involved in the change implementation phase.

Various members of the GSC expressed the fact that their expectations were generally that there would be research done and suggestions brought back before any significant or major work was done. The GSC should perhaps have been more clear as to what was expected, as the wording of the proposal left room for far more than just that.

The current state of affairs is one in which there is much confusion as to what has been done by the committee so far.

Website revision committee report

Limited alternate color schemes have been looked at, and several possibilities were put together based on the committee's research on color theory. A complete map of the current site has been created along with maps of alternate site ideas, but the alternates have not been done as a standard flowchart that can be readily grasped in the same way. Possible web builders have been looked at, and multiple homepage mock-ups have been created with one such builder.

Comments/discussion on report: It appears that more work still needs to be done, as the committee will have to provide its work in a more understandable form before the GSC can take any meaningful action.

Proposal: That in the next month, the committee make available information that it has developed regarding color schemes, site maps, and alternative main pages, and that we revisit what to do at the next meeting.

Approved unanimously